Lakeview District Manager who may sustain, vacate or modify this realty action. In the absence of any objections, this realty action will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior.

Scott R. Florence,

Field Manager, Lakeview Resource Area. [FR Doc. 99–14411 Filed 6–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–33–U

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Capital Region; Environmental Assessment of Proposed Land Exchange, George Washington Memorial Parkway, City of Alexandria and Arlington County, VA

ACTION: Notice of the availability of an environmental assessment (EA) for proposed exchange of land interests between the National Park Service, and Commonwealth Atlantic Properties, Inc., Commonwealth Atlantic Land Company, and Commonwealth Atlantic Land V Inc.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Council of Environmental Quality regulations and National Park Service policy, the National Park Service has completed an EA which evaluated the potential impacts of the proposed exchange of land interests associated with two distinct properties located in the City of Alexandria and in Arlington County, Virginia. The EA examines the environmental and visual impacts of the land exchange on the resources and scenic quality of the George Washington Memorial Parkway. The National Park Service is soliciting comments on this EA. These comments will be considered in evaluating it and in making decisions pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act.

DATES: There will be a 30-day public review period for comment on this document. Comments on the EA should be received no later than June 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comment on the EA should be submitted to Mr. John G. Parsons, Associate Regional Director, Lands, Resources, and Planning, National Park Service, National Capital Region, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington, DC 20242. A limited number of copies of the EA are available on request. A public reading copy of the EA will be available at the National Capital Region Headquarters Building, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW, First Floor Lobby, Washington, DC 20242, and at the National Park Service Planning web

page at nps.gov/gwmp/landexchange.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John G. Parsons, Associate Regional Director, Lands, Resources, and Planning, National Park Service, National Capital Region, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington, DC 20242, Telephone: (202) 619–7025.

supplementary information: By virtue of an Indenture land agreement dated February 12, 1938, the Richmond, Fredericksburg and Potomac Railroad Company (RF&P), predecessor in title to Commonwealth, conveyed to the United States certain land use restrictions over 29.1 acres of land in Arlington County, Virginia, currently owned by Commonwealth and hereinafter referenced as "the Indenture Land."

Commonwealth also owns 38.55 acres of land in the City of Alexandria, Virginia, hereinafter referenced as "Potomac Greens." By virtue of a Deed of Easement dated August 13, 1984, and in accordance with the terms of a previous exchange agreement between the United States and RF&P, the United States conveyed to RF&P a perpetual easement on and across a portion of lands of the George Washington Memorial Parkway for access, including ingress and egress from the northbound and southbound lanes of the George Washington Memorial Parkway to and from Potomac Greens in return for RF&P's obligation to construct at no cost to the United States a center-piered bridge and all associated ramps and connections necessary for ingress and egress to and from Potomac Greens to the George Washington Memorial Parkway and other valuable consideration.

Commonwealth is desirous of the United States relinquishing its restrictions on the Indenture Land in order to allow Commonwealth to implement a proposed plan for the mixed use development of the property in exchange for certain restrictions to be conveyed by Commonwealth to the United States relative to building heights and setbacks. Commonwealth has also proposed implementing a plan for the residential development of Potomac Greens, including minimal support retail.

The National Park Service is desirous of acquiring Commonwealth's access rights to the George Washington Memorial Parkway and in return is willing to partially relinquish the United States' interests in restricting the use of the Indenture Land.

The National Park Service published a notice in the **Federal Register** on December 9, 1998 (63 FR 67916), inviting public comment on proposed land exchange. A public meeting was held on December 10, 1998, and as a result received six comments on the proposed exchange.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Terry R. Carlstrom,

Regional Director, National Capital Region. [FR Doc. 99–14439 Filed 6–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Record of Decision, General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Isle Royale National Park, Keweenaw County, Michigan

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service, has prepared a Record of Decision on the Final General Management Plan/Final Environmental Impact Statement for Isle Royale National Park, Keweenaw County, Michigan.

DATES: The Regional Director, Midwest Region approved the Record of Decision, on May 11, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent, Isle Royale National Park, 800 E. Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, MI 49931–1895, telephone 906–482– 0986.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Introduction

The National Park Service has prepared the Final General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/FEIS) for Isle Royale National Park, Michigan. The GMP/FEIS proposes management direction for the park for the next 15-20 years and documents the anticipated effects of the proposed action and other alternatives on the human environment, including natural and cultural resources. This Record of Decision is a concise statement of the decisions made, other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, the environmentally preferable alternative, and the mitigating measures developed to avoid or minimize environmental harm.

Decision

After careful consideration of environmental impacts, costs, comments from the public, agencies, and tribes, and engineering evaluations, the National Park Service recommends